

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	W DIG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/770,445	01/26/2001	Jorn Gorlach	2023US	8079
22881 7 PARADIGM 104 ALEXANI	GENETICS, INC DER DRIVE, BUILDING	2	EXAMINER SAKELARIS, SALLY A	
P O BOX 1452 RTP, NC 277			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		09/770,445		GORLACH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sally A Sakel	aris	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<i>e</i>					
2a) <u></u> ☐	71115 4000011 10 1 1115 1=-	This action is no						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
~	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application		doration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.					
	ion Papers  The appelliantion is objected to by the Examir	ner						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be field in abeyance. Get of At Association 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office	e action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
"	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
*	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	(s)	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1634

## RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
- I. Claims 1-3 and 5-24 and 26 are drawn to polynucleotides, vectors, host cells and plants, and polynucleotide array classified in Class 435, subclasses 69.1, 252.3, and 320.1, Class 536, subclass 23.5, 24.31 and 24.33, Class 800, subclass 295, and Class 435, subclass 287.2.
- II. Claim 4 and 27 are drawn to polypeptides and a polypeptide array, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 25 is drawn to a method of screening a candidate agent for biological effect, classified in Class 435 subclass 7.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- a. Inventions I and II are patentably distinct in structure and physiochemical properties. Invention I is drawn to nucleic acids whereas Invention II is drawn to polypeptides. Because nucleic acids are composed of nucleotides and proteins are composed of amino acids, the inventions have different structural and functional properties. Furthermore, the compositions are utilized in different methodologies, such that nucleic acids may be utilized in hybridization assays, while the proteins may be utilized in ligand binding assays or to generate antibodies. The protein of invention II does not require the particular products of the nucleic acids of group I since the proteins of invention II can be isolated from natural sources or chemically synthesized.
- b. Group I and III are patentably distinct inventions because the polynucleotides of Group I are not relied upon in the method of Group III. Instead Group III uses polypeptides. Therefore, the inventions are novel and unobvious over one another.

Art Unit: 1634

c. Group II and III are related as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptides of invention II can be used in a materially different process such as for generating antibodies.

## Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups:

3. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because the protein encoded by these sequences differs in structure and in function and in biological activity. A restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to a nucleotide sequence, the Applicants must elect a single nucleic acid sequence from SEQ ID NOS: 1-999(See MPEP 803.04).

The search of the selected sequence may include the complements of the selected sequences and, where appropriate, may include subsequences within the selected sequences (e.g., oligomeric probes and/or primers).

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. Similarly, proteins comprising unique amino acid sequences are structurally and functionally distinct. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequences are presumed to represent an

Art Unit: 1634

independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by the different classifications and their divergent subject matter and because these inventions require different searches that are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Sally Sakelaris whose telephone number is (703) 306-0284. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W.Gary Jones, can be reached on (703)308-1152. The fax number for the Technology Center is (703)305-3014 or (703)305-4242.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Chantai Dessau whose telephone number is (703)605-1237.

Sally Sakelaris

6/03/02 Sally Suh

LISA B. ARTHUR
RIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800 (600)